

# **Decoding Neurophysiological Correlates** of Cognitive and Affective States



Katharina Lingelbach Katharina.Lingelbach@iao.fraunhofer.de

#### Background

 Decoding of interwoven affective and cognitive states is crucial for adaptive/collaborative human-machine systems [1,2]

#### **Methods**

- EEG data from five participants (2 female, 1 diverse;  $M = 23 \pm 1.02$  years)
- Arithmetic tasks adding either a series of 1-digit (low working memory load, LWML) or 2-digit numbers (high working memory load, HWML) with simultaneous auditory emotional distractions [3]
- Temporal-spatial discriminative features (FBCSP) and Linear Discriminant Analysis





### Aim

- Investigate decoding performance of simultaneously induced cognitive and affective mental states
- Investigate effects of ground truth (GT) choice for training on decoding performance



### Conclusion

Further research is needed to:

- Investigate reasons for observed discrepancy, e.g., effects of cognitive biases or social desirability
- Obtain suitable GT and calibration tasks for Brain-Computer Interface training models



What I Feel

#### Reference ratings as GT

#### High decoding performance (balanced accuracy) of interacting mental states for **reference ratings**



#### **Grand Average Test Classification Performance**

	<b>Reference Labels</b>	
Low Working Memory Load: Predict Valence	0.944* [0.500; 1.000]	
High Working Memory Load: Predict Valence	0.886* [0.500; 1.000]	
High Valence: Predict Working Memory Load	0.937* [0.500; 1.000]	
Low Valence: Predict Working Memory Load	0.921* [0.500; 1.000]	
Four Class Prediction	0.656 [0.250; 1.000]	
Dummy Classifier	0.453 [0.136; 0.800]	
Katharina Lingalbach Sabrina Cada Jachan M. Diagar Mathias		:>

Katharina Lingelbach Fraunhofer IAO, UOL ANCP

Sabrina Gado Fraunhofer IAO

Jochen W. Kiegei **UOLANCP** 

Mathias Vukelić Fraunhofer IAO





## What I Say

#### Individual subjective ratings as GT

**Chance-level decoding performance** for subjective labels as GT



#### Chance Level (Dummy Classifier)

0.523 [0.000; 1.000]

**Subjective Labels** 

0.502 [0.000; 1.000]

0.636 [0.167; 1.000]

0.662 [0.000; 1.000]

0.357 [0.083; 0.750]

0.507 [0.158; 0.800]

#### References

[1] Appriou, A., Cichocki, A., and Lotte, F. (2020). Modern Machine-Learning Algorithms: For Classifying Cognitive and Affective States From Electroencephalography Signals. IEEE Syst. Man Cybern. Mag. 6, 29-38. doi: 10.1109/MSMC.2020.2968638

[2] Vukelić, M., Lingelbach, K., Pollmann, K., and Peissner, M. (2020). illatory EEG Signatures of Affective Processes during Interaction with Adaptive Computer Systems. Brain Sci 11. doi: 10.3390/brainsci110100 [3] Gado, S., Lingelbach, K., Bui, M., Rieger, J. W., and Vukelić, M. (2021) 'Real-time feedback of subjective affect and working memory load based on neurophysiological activity," in The International Conference, HCI

International 2021, Washington DC, USA, July 24-29, 2021, Proceedings. Springer.



Get access to our Abstract





CARL VON OSSIETZKY

